1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. Case No.: 13cr789-CAB Plaintiff. 12 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR 13 v. REDUCTION OF SENTENCE **PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION** 14 JOSE RODRIGUEZ-LARA, 3582(c) [Doc. No. 675] Defendant. 15 16 On November 18, 2019, Defendant Jose Rodriguez-Lara filed a pro se Motion for 17 reduction of sentence under 18 U.S.C. §3582(c)(2) and Amendment 782 (2014). [Doc. 18 No. 675.] On December 2, 2019, this Court referred the matter to Federal Defenders 19 under General Order 692-A(2019) to evaluate Defendant's eligibility for a reduction 20 under the First Step Act §603(b). [Doc. No. 676.] On January 30, 2020, this Court 21 22 entered a minute order clarifying that the First Order was an appointment, which the Court confirmed *nunc pro tunc* to December 2, 2019. [Doc. No. 677.] 23 On January 31, 2020, Federal Defenders filed a status report indicating the 24 following: 25 1) To the extent Defendant's motion is based on Amendment 782, Federal 26 27 Defenders submits on that motion; 2) Section 404 of the First Step Act does not apply to Defendant; 28

3) Federal Defenders required further information before evaluating Defendant's eligibility under §603(b) of the First Step per General Order 692-A.

On May 6, 2020, Federal Defenders filed an updated status report, indicating that, as to Defendant's eligibility under §603(b) of the First Step per General Order 692-A, Federal Defenders submits on the original *pro se* pleading. [Doc. No. 681.]

Under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(1)A)(i), the Court may reduce a sentence when "extraordinary and compelling reasons warrant such a reduction." Here, Defendant has presented no such reasons, nor has he presented any other basis for relief. Therefore, the motion for reduction of sentence is **DENIED**.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 21, 2020

[Doc. No. 678.]

Hon. Cathy Ann Bencivengo United States District Judge